•	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
3	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
4	* vs. * CRIMINAL ACTION
5	* No. 10-10220-JLT JOSEPH MATZ *
6	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
7	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOSEPH L. TAURO
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE SENTENCING
9	APPEARANCES
10	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
11	1 Courthouse Way, Suite 9200 Boston, Massachusetts 02210
12	for the United States By: Thomas E. Kanwit, AUSA
13	
14	FEDERAL DEFENDER OFFICE District of Massachusetts
15	51 Sleeper Street, 5th Floor Boston, Massachusetts 02210
16	for the defendant By: Ian Gold, Esq.
17	
18	
19	Courtroom No. 22
20	John J. Moakley Courthouse 1 Courthouse Way
21	Boston, Massachusetts 02210 August 30, 2011 11:15 a.m.
22	II·IS a.M.
23	CAROL LYNN SCOTT, CSR, RMR
24	Official Court Reporter One Courthouse Way, Suite 7204
25	Boston, Massachusetts 02210 (617) 330-1377

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE CLERK: All rise for the Honorable Court. **THE COURT:** Good morning, everybody. 3 **VOICES:** Good morning, Your Honor. 4 THE CLERK: This is criminal matter No. 10-10220, 5 United States of America versus Joseph Matz. 6 7 Counsel please identify themselves for the record. MR. KANWIT: Good morning, Your Honor. Thomas 8 9 Kanwit for the United States. 10 MR. GOLD: Good morning, Your Honor. Ian Gold on 11 behalf of Joseph Matz. 12 THE COURT: Okay. The defendant is here. And this 13 is a hearing on sentencing. 14 And the government has a recommendation? 15 MR. KANWIT: We do, Your Honor. The government's 16 recommendation is 151 months, which is the low end of the 17 Guidelines that Mr. Matz faces as a career offender. Given 18 Mr. Matz's criminal history, his very high level of 19 recidivism, the government's recommendation is actually, 20 from my standpoint, low. It takes into account some of the 21 factors that defense counsel has argued for. 22 But at bottom it's founded upon several factors. 23 THE COURT: What is the guideline range again? 24 MR. KANWIT: 151 to 188 months. He's a career 25 offender. He has a prior conviction in this courthouse for

bank robbery by force. He would have been a career offender at that point and was initially sentenced as a career offender but --

THE COURT: Any mandatory?

MR. KANWIT: No, not as a career offender, there is no mandatory minimum.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. KANWIT: Because one of his career offender predicates turned out to be too old to be considered a predicate for career offender status, he was resentenced to 47 months.

Virtually as soon as he got out he relapsed and did the same type of behavior that he's been engaged in most of his adult life.

We have a detailed psychological explanation for why that is happening but what I consider to be an extremely facile conclusion at the end, which is that with psychotherapy and supervision, and now that he's on lithium, we can be reasonably sure he won't relapse.

The only thing that's different at this point other than Mr. Matz's advancing age is that he is on lithium. He was tried on lithium some years ago and that seemed to help him but then somehow he was not kept on lithium.

I think that's a fairly slender read for the Court to hang its hat on that the Court can protect society

sufficiently with the kind of sentence the defendant is advocating, five to six years. This is a man who keeps engaging in the same kind of behavior: Robbing banks because he has either an obsession or addiction to cocaine or an obsession with women.

Now, I don't belittle for a moment the psychological history and what Mr. Matz has gone through. It would be challenging for anyone. But the fact is that that is who he is. That can't be changed by lithium itself or anything else.

And what we see with Mr. Matz is that he does not have sufficient impulse control to stop himself from going into a bank and demanding money. He has done it time and time again. He robbed five banks within one month or six weeks in connection with this robbery. This is one of the five robberies. We chose to only charge him with one because we frankly didn't see any point to piling on because we could get a sufficient sentence with one robbery.

But the Court has to be aware that he confessed to all five robberies, not just the one for which the Court is facing sentencing him today on.

In addition, he's had other crimes previous in his past where he has done essentially the same sort of thing.

So the government is very concerned that this defendant has to be kept away from society because he cannot control

himself.

MR. GOLD: Your Honor, what we have is a spree of robberies to which Mr. Matz admitted. And it's coming a year after he was released from prison for another set of bank robberies, also the same type of situation, about three in a row. He did some state time on those and some federal time, the sentence was 47 months.

But in contrast to what Mr. Kanwit says, one of the things I think it's important to do, we do in our memorandum, is kind of stretch out the period of time from when he was released to now.

He actually started out doing very well. He worked at Au Bon Pain, Souper Salad, was in a halfway house, was engaged with the treatment that he had, which makes the kind of outcome here all the more sad in a way.

But he was, through his own admission -- we spoke with Ms. Marcy from Probation, to Dr. Rosmarin who came in, the psychiatrist that we hired came in twice, interviewed him in 2010 and 2011 -- but really taken by surprise by the strength of his feelings for this woman Dawn Battle. He became obsessed with her, thinking about her --

THE COURT: She passed away?

MR. GOLD: She is the woman who passed away.

But what is striking to me, and Dr. Rosmarin bit into the records really deeply, but he was struggling and

being open about this at the time with his therapists. He had a psychiatrist and a psychologist at the time trying to figure out how to manage this and he failed. He failed. He got kind of wrapped up in how he was going to support her in this kind of fantasy life and then robbed a bank because that's what he had known to do before to get the type of cash that he thought he needed. He then slipped and started using cocaine and it was off to the races.

Now he presents as someone who is sort of harrowed by what he did. He's got a close relationship with his father David Matz who teaches at U. Mass. Boston who has written a letter that we submitted to the Court. And it talks about what happened with insight and kind of reasoning through what happened. And I think both Dr. Rosmarin and Professor Matz talk about this as insight that will create a buffer for relapse in the future.

He has got the insight. He has got the age. He's going to be released -- he's in his early forties now. And he also has the medication change, which is not unimportant. I appreciate what the government is saying in one respect. I mean, it's not the whole kit and caboodle here but it is a very important thing.

And the storms that Mr. Matz has weathered over the past year are pretty severe. And he's kind of come through them all right and which we attribute in part to the

medication change that has him a little bit better put together. So that's the picture.

I mean, in terms of the sentencing, the length of

the sentence, Mr. Matz also has a supervised release violation based on this one episode that he admits he engaged in and also owes time of an uncertain length, he's got a five-year suspended sentence that he owes to Connecticut from the prior spree. And it's uncertain what's going to happen there so he's got a lot of baggage or wreckage to clean up based on this. And that goes into our recommendation for about what's essentially half of what the Guidelines put out, which is I think producing diminishing returns at that point by just keeping him in longer.

(Pause in proceedings.)

MR. GOLD: I know Mr. Matz --

THE COURT: I am going to ask him. I was just thinking of -- can I see you?

(Whereupon, the Court and the Probation Officer conferred.)

THE COURT: Mr. Matz, you are about to be sentenced. And as one who faces sentencing you have the right to address the Court, tell me anything that may be on your mind if you would care to do so.

If you would prefer to remain silent, you may remain silent without fear of being prejudiced. It is up to

you. If you want to speak, go ahead. If you don't, that is okay.

THE DEFENDANT: I just wanted to say that, I mean,
I know it's bad, you know, but this is a different situation
than all of the other times because I was doing good. And I
got in a situation with a woman that I had never really
experienced in the past and it just kind of swallowed me up,
you know, and it became more than I could deal with.

You know, Mr. Kanwit said that this is who I am, you know, but it isn't. I guess, I mean, I don't really know who I am but, I mean, I do know that the things that I was doing out there in the beginning, you know, were not good.

And, you know, I am more than what the record says. I mean, he made it seem like I was just a throw-away human being and I'm not. You know, I tried, I did the best that I could. I spoke to everybody that was in my life. And, you know, it just, it didn't get any better, you know, the obsession, that constant thinking about her, about what I could do to make it better. And it just took over every other part of my life. I lost my jobs and every other area of my life was just destroyed.

And eventually I started to use but it stems from me wanting to just take the easy way out. I tried and I did good, I worked hard. And, you know, I'm going to do okay.

1 I mean, it just got out of control and I didn't know what to 2 do. And I did, I fell back on what I had known in the past. But, you know, with all due respect to what he said, it's 3 4 not who I am, you know. 5 And it seemed like now it was all for nothing 6 because now she's gone and I lost everything. 7 So, that's it. THE COURT: All right. I am going to sentence you 8 9 to 84 months. And I want you to understand that I think the 10 government's recommendation was a reasonable one. It is a 11 very, very difficult position for the government to be in 12 and I understand and I think you made a reasonable 13 suggestion. I just think that you don't need that much 14 punishment at this stage of this man's career. And if we 15 give him a little slack, perhaps he will use that 16 opportunity to his advantage and society's advantage when he 17 gets out. If he doesn't, then he is going to come back 18 again. And if they bring him to me, I will remember this 19 occasion very clearly. 20 Do you understand that? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 22 **THE COURT:** Okay. So, now, anything else? 23 THE PROBATION OFFICER: There are a number of other 24 items.

The Probation Office would suggest a couple of

25

1 judicial recommendations that may assist Mr. Matz when he is 2 in prison, including a judicial recommendation that the defendant participate in all available substance abuse 3 treatment including, but not limited to, the Bureau of 4 5 Prison's 500-Hour Residential Drug Abuse Program. THE COURT: Any objection to that? 6 7 MR. GOLD: No, Your Honor, no objection to that. THE COURT: Go ahead. 8 9 THE PROBATION OFFICER: And the second one would be 10 a judicial recommendation that he be designated to an 11 institution commensurate with security where the Bureau of 12 Prisons can afford appropriate psychiatric/psychological 13 care for the defendant's documented mental health needs. 14 MR. GOLD: We were going to propose the same condition. 15 16 THE COURT: All right, so no objection. Go ahead. 17 THE PROBATION OFFICER: There would also be a 18 period of supervised release for Mr. Matz, which would be, 19 the guideline range is two to three years. 20 THE COURT: Any objection --21 MR. GOLD: No. 22 THE COURT: Three years. 23 THE PROBATION OFFICER: We'd recommend three years. 24 THE COURT: Three years. 25 THE PROBATION OFFICER: That there is also

1 restitution in this case. There was \$7,112 taken from 2 Sovereign Bank but my understanding is that \$4,073 was recovered by law enforcement to be turned over to Sovereign 3 4 Bank so that would make a balance of Mr. Matz owing \$3,039 5 for restitution. 6 THE COURT: Any objection to that? 7 MR. GOLD: No. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 THE PROBATION OFFICER: And that restitution 10 payments would begin immediately according to the Bureau of 11 Prisons Financial Responsibility Program while he is 12 incarcerated and according to a court-ordered repayment 13 schedule when he is out on supervised release. 14 **THE COURT:** Any objection to that? 15 MR. GOLD: No. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. 17 THE PROBATION OFFICER: And restitution payments 18 would be made to the Clerk's Office at this court for 19 transfer to Sovereign Bank. 20 THE COURT: Any objection to that? 21 MR. GOLD: No. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 THE PROBATION OFFICER: Mr. Matz would have to 24 notify the U.S. Attorney's Office within 30 days of any change of mailing or residence address that occurs while any 25

1 portion of the restitution remains unpaid. 2 **THE COURT:** Any objection? MR. GOLD: No. 3 THE PROBATION OFFICER: We would not recommend any 4 5 fine because of the need to pay restitution and Mr. Matz's 6 inability to pay a fine. 7 **THE COURT:** Any objection? MR. KANWIT: No, Your Honor. 8 9 **THE COURT:** Okay. THE PROBATION OFFICER: Then there would be some 10 11 conditions of supervised release. There would be several 12 mandatory conditions. 13 The first one that the defendant shall not commit 14 another federal, state or local crime and shall not 15 illegally possess a controlled substance. 16 **THE COURT:** Any objection? 17 MR. GOLD: No, Your Honor. 18 THE PROBATION OFFICER: The second one would be 19 that the defendant shall refrain from any unlawful use of a 20 controlled substance and submit to one drug test within 21 fifteen days of release from imprisonment and at least two 22 periodic drug tests thereafter not to exceed 104 tests per 23 year as directed by the Probation Office. 24 **THE COURT:** Any objection? 25 MR. GOLD: No, Your Honor.

1 THE PROBATION OFFICER: The defendant shall -- the 2 third one -- the defendant shall submit to the collection of a DNA sample as directed by the Probation Office. 3 **THE COURT:** Any objection? 4 5 MR. GOLD: No. THE PROBATION OFFICER: And the fourth mandatory 6 7 condition, that the defendant shall comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by the court and set out 8 9 at the *Guidelines* at Section 5D1.3(c). 10 THE COURT: Any objection to that? 11 MR. GOLD: No, Your Honor. 12 THE PROBATION OFFICER: And then to assist Mr. Matz 13 when he is out on supervised release we would recommend a 14 number of special conditions of supervision. 15 The first one being that the defendant is 16 prohibited from possessing a firearm, destructive device or 17 other dangerous weapon. 18 THE COURT: Any objection? 19 MR. GOLD: No, Your Honor. 20 THE PROBATION OFFICER: We would recommend three 21 financial conditions to assist our office in the collection 22 of the restitution payments. 23 The first one that the defendant is to pay the 24 balance of any restitution imposed according to a 25 court-ordered repayment schedule.

1 **THE COURT:** Any objection? 2 MR. GOLD: No, Your Honor. THE PROBATION OFFICER: The defendant is prohibited 3 from incurring new credit charges or opening additional 4 5 lines of credit without the approval of the Probation Office 6 while any financial obligations remain outstanding. 7 **THE COURT:** Any objection? MR. GOLD: No, Your Honor. 8 9 THE PROBATION OFFICER: The defendant is to provide 10 the Probation Office access to any requested financial 11 information which may be shared with the Financial 12 Litigation Unit of the U.S. Attorney's Office. 13 THE COURT: Any objection there? 14 MR. GOLD: No. 15 THE PROBATION OFFICER: And then as far as 16 treatment, we recommend substance abuse treatment. The 17 defendant is to participate in a program for substance abuse 18 counseling as directed by the Probation Office, which may 19 including testing not to exceed 104 tests per year. 20 defendant may be required to contribute to the cost of such 21 services based on the ability to pay or availability of 22 third-party payment. 23 THE COURT: Any objection there? 24 MR. GOLD: No, Your Honor. 25 THE PROBATION OFFICER: And also mental health

1 The defendant is to participate in a mental treatment. 2 health treatment program as directed by the Probation Office. He may be required to contribute to the cost of 3 4 services for such treatment based on the ability to pay or 5 availability of third-party payment. 6 **THE COURT:** Any objection? 7 MR. GOLD: No, Your Honor. THE PROBATION OFFICER: And the last one is that 8 9 the defendant shall at all times use his true name and is 10 prohibited from using any false identifying information, 11 including but not limited to any aliases, false dates of 12 birth, false Social Security numbers and incorrect places of 13 birth. 14 THE COURT: Any objection? 15 MR. GOLD: No, Your Honor. 16 **THE COURT:** Okay. 17 THE PROBATION OFFICER: And then there is also a 18 special assessment of \$100. 19 MR. GOLD: No objection to that, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: All right. Is that it? 21 THE PROBATION OFFICER: That's it from the 22 standpoint of Probation. I don't know if there is anything 23 else --24 THE COURT: Anything else you want to add? 25 MR. GOLD: We would request that the Court

1 recommend the Bureau of Prisons designate Mr. Matz as close 2 to this area as possible. I think that's a standard --THE COURT: We will make that recommendation. Of 3 course, you know that I have no authority to do anything but 4 5 make the recommendation. MR. GOLD: Yes, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else? Anything else from the government? 8 9 MR. KANWIT: No, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: All right. Now, Mr. Matz, you have 11 been sentenced. And as one who has been sentenced, you have 12 the right to appeal that sentence if you would care to do 13 so. 14 If you don't have funds to prosecute an appeal, you 15 will be permitted to appeal without payment of any fees. If 16 you don't have funds for a lawyer, one will be provided for 17 you. 18 You have 14 days to file a notice of appeal if it 19 is your intention to do so. 20 Do you understand that? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Anything else? 23 MR. KANWIT: Just for the record, Your Honor, while 24 I understand the Court's reasoning, it's important that the record reflect the government's objection to the sentence. 25

```
1
                THE COURT: Okay.
 2
                MR. KANWIT: Thank you.
                THE COURT: Is that it? All right. Thank you,
 3
 4
       everybody.
 5
                MR. KANWIT: Thank you, Your Honor.
 6
                MR. GOLD: Thank you, Your Honor.
 7
                THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Judge.
 8
 9
                (WHEREUPON, the proceedings were recessed at 11:35
10
                a.m.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I, Carol Lynn Scott, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages are a true and accurate transcription of my shorthand notes taken in the aforementioned matter to the best of my skill and ability.

/S/CAROL LYNN SCOTT

CAROL LYNN SCOTT
Official Court Reporter
John J. Moakley Courthouse
1 Courthouse Way, Suite 7204
Boston, Massachusetts 02210
(617) 330-1377

DATE: February 10, 2012